


1/ Workplan: Consolidation of tasks is a good first step, but coordination between sub tasks is not defined. Suggest leads for main tasks to coordinate work of sub tasks.

- Need for an equivalent POC, that will coordinate the various sub-tasks.
- Need for term of references for the Task leaders .

2/ Workplan: new tasks have references to community of practices

- Cross referenced tasks need cross referenced to CoP (example this task is lead by Norway, USA, ... and linked to Water Cycle CoP)

3/ Workplan: Should appendix A participants be rationalized

- It is not clear that the people in this list are really active.
- A Need for recognition for those really active.
- Proposal: make the distinction between significant contributors in 2008 and “sleeping” members
-  Political issues

4/ Workplan: Transverse nature of UIC: propose language that describes general role and identify specific activities

- Within the WP activities are not very well articulated with the UIC activities.
- May be emphasizing the CoP activities with the different tasks, will show the role of UIC
- cross walking the tasks with the CoP and IGOS-P themes and also cross walking the users engagements. UIC can volunteer to do the analysis and provide a chart for the different tasks

5/ Workplan: What are specific activities in 3, 6 12 month time frame to get CoP engages in Common Infrastructure and Portals, (emails, workshops etc)

- Need from some how-to register components, services, (less than 3 month, link with ADC)
- ADC AiP (Air Quality, Disaster, Energy and Biodiversity SBA are concerned) is a good test for the register and pilots. (6 months). Fire detection can be also a good testbed.
- Specific activities are planned within the ISRSE 33 meeting in Stressa (9 month).
- Ask to each point of Contact CoP to populate the GEO Portal (12 month).

6/ Workplan: Interaction with other GEO committees ad ExCom, Secretariat

- Need for a phone call each month with the ADC Co-chairs (for 3 months) than plan an evaluation.
- STC link through the user gathering process.
- There is a real need for Secretariat to engage more Committees. Lack of information and lack on interactions.

7/ Ask how many partners needed to do work to make each activity successful.

- difficult to answer due to the diversity of tasks.
- pb of the resources putted in the tasks.
Thresholds on contribution to be in or out (money or in-kind)
- Discussion about the sensitivity of this point due to needed balance between engagement and representativity

8/ Acquire UIC etc needs from collective knowledge of other meetings that will guide our activities, eg. Portal collections.
How use data for capacity building?

- Need for more exchanges between the different committees
- Good experiment for the week of the committees. To be improved by specific sessions during the week.

What tools and templates UIC can propose to the other committee to collect user requirements and keep our database up to date?

- Give template of the US-06-01 to address User requirements to the other committees for collecting user related information during the different meetings.